

CHAPTER – 40

SERVICE IN THE DOMAIN OF LIVING AND GROUNDS OF SELF-PROCLAMATION

Governance is a constitution of rules which is abiding on the whole society and their networks. The prospects of governance will truly get notified to the public when the culture of governance makes way for working on set ideologies of governance and does not distract the purposes for personal gains from power. The ideals of governance are set ideologies in the form of written works and personal prescriptions are suspicious about the folds of governance in a major way. The matter remains always critical for most of the populations as to how to cope with rulings which are circumscribed to personal attributes and are least bothered about gains in totality from the rules of governance. The process in general can be beneficial if we highlight the perspectives with both the types of attributes dictating terms for governing the folds of the country. The domain of governance is strictly made for the public to follow organized behaviors in their life and new incumbents are also to follow the same rules. The accountability of governance domain is seen in by the rules as the people are adopting service to life in their domain to fulfill their needs and are not involved in the glare of the society to denounce the organized precepts of life for those who practice personal attributes as of dictating terms to the public on individual terms. The process of governance will be high yielding if people adopt service to life and process of governance will run into doldrums if people run after those who self proclaim for their own interests and denounce the achievements in governance.

Life is a hard struggle in achieving successfulness. As such successful persons are those who have done the right kind of service for life. As such people follow service to life in their domain so that they can establish themselves amicably. Children try to study hard so that they can become good professional. Businessmen do hard work such that they maintain the necessary decorum to carry out their businesses. As such service to life means that people try to adjust in the society for seeking bread and butter in an organized fashion owing responsibilities to their nature of work. Service to life means people exhibit their potential to long ranges of times to establish their image in the society. Grounds of self proclamation are in clause as you try to denounce the world and overrule the subscription of governance by your own individual thinking. The channel of work culture is full of authority under self proclamation and puts the prudent norms of life in disarray. The self proclaiming rules puts service to life norms under threat and dictates its own terms for the society which are yet to be in clause as beneficial for the society. Self proclamation is meant to be discouraged while service to life is a more prudent approach.

To establish a society of norms and procedures it is necessary that people do hard work in their domain of service thus all of the persons can seek bread under the ideals of full statehood to the citizens. The society which is full of norms and procedures has the capacity to mark the generosity of the masses and such grounds are always part of true prudent approach to service to life in the domain of living. The purposes of proclaiming the world for individual interests is always denounced in these societies. Self proclamation is an act circumscribed to individual thinking and does not have the potential to mark the generosity of the masses if prudent approach as applicable to the interests of the people is not adopted. The organized precepts of governance can be truly realized amidst people if the

grounds of living are based on service in their domain of living. The relational order of preferences amidst people is truly realizable if the governance makes for attributing efforts for service in the domain of living. Self proclamation is an act which leads to lot of disarray in the society and always makes for disillusionments about the working of governance leading to as of in flawing the culture of established norms and procedures.

The rationale of attributed living perpetuates that person who is aptly serving the needs of the people should be categorized for more favors and his attributes will aptly serve the demanding needs of the time. The rationale as it stands dictates that he should be in clause for prosperity to do favors to his stream lawfully and justifiably. Persons who do service to life are entrusted with qualities and are well adapted to tackle any untoward misfortune in their stream of work. Grounds of self proclamation should be always discouraged and should be considered only when the person has aptly served his enclosed domain of work. In seeking prosperous grounds by self proclamation the attributes of serving the needs of the times by the person should be thoroughly considered before making a case for prosperity to oneself.

Service in their domain of living provides good virtues to all and good society behavior is established. Grounds of self proclamation are overdosed with rash understanding thus leads to uprooting of norms and procedures such that good virtues are never in the folds of open arenas. Service in their domain of living means people are qualified to attract masses thus can be considered in the domain of governance while grounds of self proclamation means denouncing the world for personal gains. The entrenched personal interest of the person has least concern for values in the society and this as such manifests in a lot of disarray to governance procedures. People who work hard in their domain of service know the ups and downs of life thus are well suited to provide good virtues. Self proclamation means giving your individual brain and not the thinking of the masses for governing the folds of the country.

Service in the domain of living is necessary rather than seeking direct relief by self proclamation because than meaning of law and order would be more prudent. Service in domain associates fruits in continuous fashion. This as such is a quantum approach to life whereas self proclamation violates the law and justice procedures leading to false grounds in life. Service in domain of living and self proclamation together make opposite terms such that both of them try to show authority in different manners. Case of service in the domain of living provides virtuousness and case of self proclamation provides dominance in the society. Service in the domain of living is a quantum approach such that life is full of virtues and people lead their life by values while self proclamation is a dominance approach such that wholesome aptitudes are followed in life with full of treachery, brutality not leading to perpetuation of virtues. Service in domain is grounded with real purpose while self proclamation is eating without base. Until and unless you cannot provide the service of right kind to the people self proclamation is useless and baseless. Such type of proclamation leads to uproars in the society as people denounce the act as fruitless. Thus self proclamation should be entrusted with qualities of service in their domain such that people can stand tall in the society and appreciate the fruits of right measures.

Self proclamation is useful only when it is vested with vast capabilities for people to learn and understand. Self proclamation when it is vested with little knowledge is dangerous and is denounced

by the society. Persons who are vested with little knowledge should try to adjust in the society suitably by virtues of service as it will provide fruits in this manner. Self proclamation is useful only when it has the capabilities to mark the generosity of the masses. When persons fall out of the stream of society and self proclamation is the only option than they should join political movements for the welfare of society.

Self proclamation always persists in highest echelons of power where the interests are located only on personal attributes and these people have full potentiality along with adaptability to guide the course of their thinking in the public. The self proclamation rules are for dominance and these can be part of only influential people of the society. These persons have lead their way of life on personal status quo and at times they feel uncomfortable with the new overtures in governance thus they try to override the subscription of governance to guide the course of livings on personal attributes. The process of self proclamation is identifiable to the public is ensured by their dignity in the midst of works in the people. The self proclamation perspectives are easily identifiable among those sections of the society who are least cared about their life and thus as such the personal identity of the proclamation soon becomes a movement of the people demanding rights for the people out of the shortcoming with the system of governance.